

The Truth About Homosexuality

by Carl F. Worden
wolfeyes@cdsnet.net

I'm going to address the issue of homosexuality and its effects because I worked very closely with over 300 homosexual men in the late 60s and early 70s—just before gay rights groups began to organize into the political power base they represent today.

I considered most of these “gay” men to be at least close business associates, and a number of them would definitely fall into the category of being close personal friends, so any attempt to categorize my comments here as “homophobic” would be in vain.

I'm going to write the truth here, and because of the nature of the subject, that truth will be graphic and make some of you uncomfortable reading it. However, all but perhaps one of those healthy young men I knew 25 years ago is dead, and nearly all of them died from an AIDS-related illness, so this is not the time to sugarcoat the reality of what the homosexual life-style is, and what it does to its willing participants.

Articles written with a critical eye toward homosexuality are all too often based upon emotion, and include biblical references which condemn the practice. I wrote the original version of this article back in 1999 with a reference to the religious view, and a reader asked me to modify it to exclude any mention of God or religion. I gave it some thought, and the reader had a good point: Christians don't have to be reminded of the biblical view toward homosexuality, but one mention of it to nonbelievers and you can hear their minds slam shut. So this is my very dispassionate, nonreligious tale of a terrible tragedy I personally witnessed.

Now, I warned you I'd have to get graphic here, and it is time to bluntly remind everyone just what this horrendous, perverted, septic and medically dangerous practice really is. To refer to this physically revolting act as something flowery, like “Gay Love” is like referring to an execution by disembowelment as mere “euthanasia”.

We all need to go back to square one, before the desensitization of the media lulled us away from the reality of what a homosexual act is. We need to go back to that time when the initial thought, that

impression we envisioned when we first heard about this act filled us with revulsion. That revulsion and nausea you felt wasn't borne of ignorance, but of a spontaneous and instinctive rejection of what you immediately recognized as an abhorrent, disgusting and wholly unnatural thing to do. There's nothing whatsoever to be ashamed for admitting this, because it just happens to be the natural response.

Gay rights groups didn't really exist until around 1975, and even then, they were disorganized at best. That was before they got together and agreed on the fat lie that they were somehow *born* into homosexuality. I'll never buy that nonsense, and I'll tell you why: Not one of my friends ever told me they thought they were born into homosexuality. Not one of them. They would tell me why, and their stories were often similar, but not one of them ever told me they believed they were born that way. In every case of the men I knew, they had made homosexuality a *choice*, and many of those men had been married prior to adopting that life-style.

Let's clear something up right now: Homosexuality, including Lesbianism, are fetishes. They are not biological conditions people are born with. Anne Heche is a celebrity lesbian one minute, and goes heterosexual the next. Go figure. In many cases, today's homosexuals were molested at a very young age by another man, and experienced their very first orgasm that way. That experience can and does warp a young man's sexual orientation, no doubt about it, and helps explain why we hear young homosexuals relate the same story that they just felt different sexually than their friends. Of course they do, and understandably so, when we remember their very first imprinted sexual climactic experience came from the hands, mouth (or worse) of another man.

In recent years there has been a rather predictable development that has been very carefully hidden from the public:

Organizations of "recovering homosexuals" have sprung up all over this nation, made up of both men and women who have escaped the homosexual life-style and have taken up successful heterosexual relationships. In some cases, former lesbians have met and married former gay men and are now raising families. You will find it interesting to note that Anna Freud, Sigmund's daughter, reported the "recovery" of three homosexuals in her writings in the 1940's. If homosexuality is so natural and people are *born* into it, how can this "recovery" be possible? Answer: It can't.

There are those who keep telling the persistent lie that homosexuality occurs naturally in animals, so therefore it occurs naturally in

DON'T LOOSE IT ALL !

Can you loose it all in one day ?

**Have no clue how to manage your Trust or Business?
What are the tremendous blessings of being a Church?**

Lawfully, STOP PAYING 1040 TAXES

The Solution: LIBERTY418.COM

man. So where are the photos? Have you ever seen a photograph of two male animals *completing* an act of anal intercourse?

Think about it: We've all seen photographs of Big Foot and flying saucers, right? And I know you've seen male dogs mounting one another as well and other animals like elk and deer doing it too, but you have never seen photographic proof of a *completed* act of penetrating anal intercourse in animals, because it simply does not exist in nature, and if it did, we'd damn-sure have photographs of it.

Does that little revelation trouble you? It should. It should make you question all the other "truths" you've accepted over the years (like "Prohibition was a mistake, but the War On Drugs isn't"—but that's another subject altogether).

Should old acquaintance be forgot?

Now let me tell you about my very dead friends.

This saga took place in the San Francisco Bay Area, before HIV infections caused the San Francisco Health Department to shut down the public "bath houses" where these men would go to "meet" each other. Okay, so I'm getting all flowery. The men would go there to engage other men in anal intercourse.

Sometimes these men would have 4, 5, 6 or more sexual liaisons with complete strangers in one night. Some of these acts would take place through plywood walls with penis-sized holes cut in them to secure the anonymity between the participants, and sometimes they would take place through holes cut in cloth sheets. (Don't even bother to ask how HIV infections spread so quickly in that kind of environment—anyone could've predicted it.)

If you thought the "gay life-style" was just about two guys playing house, you've bought into just another of the many lies they want you to believe. Brutal acts of sadomasochism between homosexuals are often played out, sometimes resulting in injury and even death, and the physical beatings between homosexual and lesbian "lovers" are legend within the law enforcement community as well as with emergency health care professionals. (That's another fact you won't see reported in the mainstream media.) If there ever was a misnomer, it is the term "gay" when referring to these pitiful creatures.

My friends would get totally wasted on alcohol and drugs, often coming in on Monday morning looking like they were at death's door after a typical weekend orgy, and it came as no surprise to learn recently that the life expectancy of both male homosexuals and lesbians is roughly *40% less* than heterosexuals.

Dr. Paul Cameron holds a Ph.D. from the University of Colorado, and his work has been published in the medical journal Omega. This is what he reported:

For heterosexuals, the average man lives to be 73; women 77.

For homosexuals, the average AIDS-caused death is at 39 and non-AIDS-caused death is at the remarkably advance age of 42! For lesbians, the average age of death is 44 because AIDS is not a significant factor among lesbians. However, the fact that lesbians (who are

not particularly subject to AIDS) can still expect to lose 30 years of life indicates that the homosexual “lifestyle” is beset by a host of lethal pathologies other than AIDS such as drug addiction, alcohol abuse, sexually transmitted diseases and parasites, domestic violence, and suicide.

Dr. William Bennet, who authored the book *The Death of Outrage*, commented that the Clinton administration has made such a big deal about tobacco use shaving 6-7 years off one’s life, while openly supporting the gay death style that is known to shave off *over 30 years* from the average life span.

David Foster, a former homosexual and author, found that the rate of injury and death from domestic violence among homosexuals is at least *five* times that of heterosexuals, and when it comes to lesbian relationships, the rate goes right off the scale. Apparently, lesbians beat the hell out of each other, and often. He also points to a very high incidence of drug and alcohol abuse as another strong factor in reducing the life spans of homosexuals and lesbians so drastically.

Nothing I personally witnessed falls in dispute with the above published findings—and I have over 300 dead bodies as evidence to back them.

Now that you are aware of these facts—and they are *facts* indeed—how could any parent of one of these hapless, errant children join a support group like Parents And Friends of Gays And Lesbians which openly defends the gay life-style—and even promotes it? How could any parent encourage their child to continue a life-style *known* to lead to an early death? Why would any parent tolerate a public school that teaches their children that homosexuality and lesbianism are *naturally* occurring sexual orientations? They are those who refuse to learn the truth, or worse—those who refuse to *accept* the truth.

Shocking normalcy

When I tell an openly gay person to seek help in order to save them from an early death, is that an act of *hate*? They’ll tell you it is. Not only are we to accept the gay life-style, but these individuals and groups also insist we embrace their life-style in our schools where it can be painted as “normal”. *Normal?*

Tell me: What is “normal” about a young man with a herniated sphincter who must wear diapers due to fecal incontinence from having submitted to so many acts of anal intercourse? I knew several young men who had that disgusting problem. What’s “natural and normal” about that? What’s so “natural and normal” about your child dying before you? What’s so “natural and normal” about having to get an AIDS test every three months? I don’t have to, and I’ll bet the average reader doesn’t have to either.

Perhaps I am more sensitive to this issue than most, but whenever I hear someone describe themselves as openly gay, I automatically visualize them engaged with another man in a revolting act of anal intercourse, ruining my appetite. Yet few people understand that

nothing turns gay men on more than the idea you'd be both shocked and disgusted by their behavior.

You see, all the homosexual men I knew were psychological exhibitionists as well, and they were absolutely thrilled to see "straight" people recoil at the sight of them prancing around, lisping almost every word. As bizarre as it sounds, the homosexual community wants what it knows will rob the savor from their salt. The more we "accept" openly homosexual behavior, the more repugnant and bizarre their behavior becomes in order to garner the same level of shock and disgust. So when a gay rights supporter asks that frequently heard question, "Would a person deliberately place themselves in a position to be hated and reviled?", the answer is an unequivocal "Yes, they would!" And in fact, they do.

The fact is, the homosexual life-style contributes nothing of a positive nature to society. If anything, the homosexual lifestyle has proven to be destructive to it's participants, and because of the septic nature of anal intercourse, the homosexual lifestyle has proven to quickly spread HIV as well as that most recent and perhaps even deadlier threat, Hepatitis C. The public health costs imposed by the gay community on the rest of society have been costly indeed—and no one can dispute that.

And also consider this: Can you recall any invention, any lifesaving vaccine or any improvement to society by any individual because he was gay? Did his "gay-ness" contribute anything at all, or did he achieve his accomplishments in spite of it? We all know the answer.

So should we be more compassionate for these people, or should we adopt a tough love kind of response? Should we allow our young children to be taught something is "normal," when we know that not even the animals will engage in it? What about when those children might be tempted to experiment with it and thus become its' unwitting victims as well? Would any parent want their children to experience the degradation and early death I've described here? I hope not.

Straight guilt, too

However tempted I am to end on that note, let us all refocus on the problems of society in general, and not those imposed only by the homosexual community. I'm addressing those of the "straight" community now; those who are actively engaged in unmarried sexual relationships and adultery.

It was just reported that over 50% of all children are now born to unwed mothers. Divorce and good old fornication are proving to be the most long-term, destructive elements of behavior existent in our society today, and it is costing the taxpayers dearly for looking the other way for so long. . . . When you engage in a sexual liaison with someone you are not married to, and probably have no intention of marrying, you are rolling the dice on the life of any child born as a result, and you all know that, short of sterilization, no form of birth control is 100% effective.

The act of fornication is shamefully selfish and self-centered, and

without any regard whatsoever to how it may effect the entire life of another innocent human being whose mother might just decide to kill it while it's still "legal" to do so. So, while it is important to tell the truth about homosexuality, the fact is that today's "straight" fornicators and adulterers are responsible for far more of society's ills, in terms of both fiscal impact and moral decline, than the homosexual community could ever hope to achieve.

I first published an article on homosexual life expectancy about *five years ago* when studies revealed that the gay "lifestyle choice" shortens the average homosexual's life by an astonishing 30 years. Homosexuality is more lethal than smoking cigarettes. Viet Nam combat platoons had longer life expectancies than the average homosexual. This information isn't news.

And yet, despite unrefuted evidence, our government and schools persist in advocating homosexuality as a fun, "lifestyle choice" somewhat like wearing cowboy boots or baggy pants.

I'm not particularly disturbed by the homosexual lifestyle. If two men want to play with each other, frankly, my dear, I don't give a dam. Nevertheless, the "gay" phenomenon bothers me because its public support and persona are based on enormous and dangerous lies.

For example, the "gay" community *knows* they're literally *killing* themselves and their alleged "lovers". "Gay" is not an expression of love, it's euphemism for death. Despite their lifestyle's incredible casualty rate, homosexuals aren't asking for help to stop the carnage, they're asking special protections so they can continue to embrace their "deathstyle choice".

Implication? At some conscious and/or subconscious level, the queers' self-hatred not only drives them toward suicide, it compels them to kill *each other*. There is something deeply and dangerously wrong with such people. They're not "gay"—they're sick, probably suicidal, possibly murderous and conceivably involved with evil as victims, perpetrators or both.

Again, I'm not writing this to "bash queers". My concern is that there appears to be a monstrous truth sitting right in front of us that virtually no one in the homosexual community, mainstream media or government wants to acknowledge. I feel like a man who sees a school house on fire and is surrounded by people telling me everything's OK, no need to worry, fire is the schoolkids' friend.

This refusal to face such lethal facts can't be an accident. The evidence is dramatic and available to anyone who wants to look. People are choosing to die . . . choosing to kill . . . and knowingly choosing to pretend nothing's wrong. This isn't a mistake, a misunderstanding or a crazy aberration; this is wicked.

Our national government and public schools seem determined to not only protect but also encourage homosexuality. When schools and government defend gay rights and characterize homosexuality

as a mere “lifestyle choice,” they legitimize and implicitly encourage kids to “experiment” with that “lifestyle choice”.

The problem is that when kids first experiment with the homosexuality “choice,” they presume that they can later also “choose” not to be homosexual. But I suspect the “choice” to try homosexuality is a lot like the “choice” to try Russian roulette. For some, that choice can be irrevocable. Therefore, it’s criminally irresponsible for any society to allow (let alone encourage) its children to even consider such “lifestyle choice”.

Nevertheless our government and public schools effectively promote this “lifestyle choice” and thereby invite millions of Americans (especially kids) to engage in self-destructive behavior.

Why?

Government’s bizarre support for homosexuality can’t be explained as a consequence of “Gay Power”. Homosexuals represent less than 5% of the American people. They’re not liked, they’re not respected, they don’t really have much power and, given their abbreviated life expectancy, they aren’t likely to live long enough to vote in many elections. The simple truth is that government could easily push the queers back in their closets without causing a serious political ruckus.

So why does government give special protections to the homosexual “deathstyle” choice?

If I were homosexual, I wouldn’t cheer when government worked to protect my “gay rights”—I’d be scared to death. Government support of “gay rights” is much like government support for teenage boys’ right to drink and drive. Everyone knows such “support” will inevitably get a bunch of people killed.

Better that government were indifferent or even opposed to “gay rights”. Under that scenario, even the most virulent homosexual could take some secret solace in the fact that government was truly trying to protect homosexuals from themselves and thus extend their lives. A prohibition against homosexuality actually demonstrates a genuine concern for saving homosexuals’ lives.

But current “gay rights” movement indicates that government isn’t actually *supporting* homosexuals, it’s *enabling* them to engage in self-destructive behavior. By that “enablement,” government *causes* homosexuals to die.

I’m not writing this commentary to “save the queers”.

In fact, this entire article isn’t really about homosexuals, but rather about how government relates to American citizens. Today’s homosexuals occupy a position analogous to canaries in a coal mine. When the birds stop singing, it’s time to run. This article isn’t about whether canaries die or even if the homosexual die. It’s about whether many more of us in other groups may also die.

Official support for “gay rights” indicates that our government has intentionally targeted a large *group* of Americans for early death. The chilling possibility that government can precipitate the deaths of any group of Americans makes government dangerous to *all* Americans.

