



# NORTH AMERICAN UNION FACT SHEET



Produced and Distributed by the American Policy Center

## SUMMARY

The effort is in its infancy, however the Bush Administration has been operating in secret for at least two years to establish what can only be described as a North American Union with Mexico and Canada, along the same lines as the European Union. If that happens it can only mean an eventual end to the U.S. Constitution as our ruling document, replaced instead with a new North American Government. That is what is happening in Europe today.

We believe it can and will eventually lead to the surrender of U.S. sovereignty, independence, and national borders. It will result in the establishment of a North American currency called the “Amero,” as the dollar is junked.

The U.S. will provide the army for defense. Gone will be U.S. citizenship. Gone will be any kind of border control between the three nations of North America.

Plans are well underway to establish a NAFTA Super Highway, to be the width of eight football fields. It will run from Mexico to Canada, running through the middle of the United States. No direct inspections will be enforced as trucks from Mexico and Canada drive through this nation. Only electronic scanning will be used on the trucks.

Kansas City, MO has been tapped to serve as an “inland port” to handle imports and exports among the three nations. Operating quietly, Kansas City has already designated \$2.5 million of its taxpayer’s money to establish the port. Now, the Mexican government is demanding that it have its own inspection site in Kansas City to inspect its own trucks. It also is demanding that the land its port will sit on will officially become Mexican sovereign territory.

The official effort began on March 23, 2005, after a summit, held in Waco, TX. It was attended by President Bush, Mexican President Vicente Fox, and (then) Canadian Prime Minister Paul Martin. The three leaders announced the signing of an agreement to create common policies concerning various economic and security areas among the three nations.

For obvious cover of official deniability, the term “North American Union” is not being used. Instead, the agreement officially authorized twenty tri-national “working groups” to establish the “Security and Prosperity Partnership” (SPP). The concept is being sold as simply a new framework within which the member nations can create free trade and security within the North American continent. However, based on working documents, the intent to create the North American Union is impossible to hide.

The trilateral agreement, signed as a joint declaration, has not been submitted to Congress for review. There is no congressional oversight.

## SECURITY AND PROSPERITY PARTNERSHIP (SPP)

The joint statement on the SPP, issued on March, 23, 2005 described it as an initiative to: “...establish a common approach to security to protect North America from external threats, prevent and respond to threats within North America, and further streamline the security and efficient movement of legitimate, low-risk traffic across our shared borders.”

The working groups are now laying the foundation for a European Union-style integration of the North American continent.

The White House has established the SPP office in the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) office in the U.S. Department of Commerce, where it has worked in virtual secrecy for two years. As the process proceeds, the SPP groups have not released public reports on their activities.

Over the past two years, at least 20 SPP working groups have produced a number of memorandums of understanding and trilateral declarations of agreement. These agreements cover a wide variety of issues including, energy, transportation, financial services (including loan and foreign aid policy), communications, technology, environmental policy, rules under which businesses will operate, food and agriculture

policy, health policy, e-commerce, aviation policy, border and immigration policy, and the means for multiple governmental agencies to interact. They may be viewed on the Internet at [www.spp.gov](http://www.spp.gov). **The Bush Administration has denied that the SPP is operating in secret. Yet it has not released the names of those in the working groups.**

Meanwhile, officials of the three nations quietly met in Alberta, Canada September 12 – 14, 2006. Former Secretary of State George Shultz was a joint chairman of the meeting with his counterparts from Mexico and Canada. Also in active attendance were Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, Admiral Tim Keating, Commander of NORAD, and Robert Pastor, a key advocate of the creation of a North American Union. Discussions at the conference included “A Vision for North America,” “Toward a North American Energy Strategy, and “Demographic and Social Dimensions of North American Integration.”

**It is also important to note that the Bush Administration has denied that the President ever signed an agreement with Canada and Mexico.** The Administration has established a “Myths and Facts” section to the Security and Prosperity Partnership web page in an attempt to counter arguments of those now exposing the SPP. The site blatantly says, “*The SPP is a dialogue to increase security and enhance prosperity among the three countries. The SPP is not an agreement nor is it a treaty. In fact, no agreement was ever signed.*” However, according to a report on the SPP written by Former Canadian Prime Minister Paul Martin, one of the three heads of state involved in the March 23, 2005 meeting with Bush and Fox, writes “Thus, on March 23, President Bush, President Fox and I **signed** the Security and Prosperity Partnership of North America...” The full report by Mr. Martin may be read on the Internet at [www.dfait-maeci.gc.ca/cip-pic/ips/ips-overview2-en.asp](http://www.dfait-maeci.gc.ca/cip-pic/ips/ips-overview2-en.asp). Why is the Bush Administration lying about this fact? The obvious answer is because they are doing this without congressional approval and it is therefore illegal.

## COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS BLUE PRINT

Many SPP working groups appear to be driving toward achieving specific objectives as defined by a May, 2005 Council on Foreign Relations(CFR) task force report, which presented a blueprint for expanding the SPP agreement into a North American Union that would merge the U.S., Canada and Mexico into a new governmental form.

The CFR report is entitled “*Building a North American Community*,” and is essentially a five year plan for implementing the North American Union. It may be viewed at the CFR Internet web sight at [www.cfr.org](http://www.cfr.org).

A member of the CFR taskforce, Dr. Robert Pastor, wrote a book, published in 2001, titled “*Toward a North American Commission*.” The CFR taskforce report and the official SPP agreement carry almost identical language as the Pastor’s book. **Though the Bush Administration denies a connection to Dr. Pastor’s book or to the CFR’s report, Dr. Pastor has represented the United States in SPP meetings, including (as mentioned above) the recent meeting in Alberta, Canada.**

The book, the CFR task force and the SPP agreement call for the establishment of a *North American Competitiveness Council* to pull in the private sector to the SPP process. In addition, all three call for the establishment of a “*North American Advisory Council*,” which is to be an “independent body of advisors,” composed of “eminent persons from outside the government.”

In 2002, Dr. Pastor addressed the Trilateral Commission, calling for the establishment of a *North American Investment Fund* that would supplement World Bank funds expended in a trilateral effort to develop Mexico economically. The May, 2005 CFR report called for the same fund. Efforts are now underway in the SPP to officially establish the fund.

The CFR Task Force calls for *the creation by 2010 of a North American community to enhance security, prosperity, and opportunity. We propose a community based on the principle affirmed in the March, 2005 Joint Statement of the three leaders (of the three nations) that ‘our security and prosperity are mutually dependent and complementary.’ Its boundaries will be*

*defined by a common external tariff and an outer security perimeter within the movement of people, products and capital will be legal, orderly, and safe.”*

To those ends, the CFR report called for establishment of a common security border perimeter around North America by 2010, along with free movement of people, commerce and capital to be facilitated by the establishment of a *North American Border Pass* that would replace a U.S. passport for travel between the U.S., Canada and Mexico.

Also envisioned by the CFR task force include a *North American Court*, a *North American inter-parliamentary group*, a *North American Executive Commission*, a *North American Military Defense Command*, a *North American Customs Office* and a *North American Development Bank*.

The task force report is important in the debate over the official Security and Prosperity Partnership operation because, **though the Bush Administration denies any connection to the CFR report**, the language used in the CFR task force report and SPP documents, so far, have proven to be nearly identical. Clearly the CFR task force report is being used as the blue print to establish the North American Union.

## NO CONGRESSIONAL AUTHORIZATION OR OVERSIGHT

To date, Congress has passed no legislation to authorize the activities of the SPP, nor to fund its spending. Congress has had no official involvement in the process and has no oversight. Many members of Congress have denied any knowledge of the activities of the SPP. Democrat Congressman Barney Frank (D-MA) has said “It (SPP) was done for the United States solely by the President, with no Congressional involvement.”

Congressman Tom Tancredo, (R-Colo) has demanded that the Bush Administration fully disclose the activities of the SPP working groups, including revealing the names of the members of those groups. No answers to his demands have yet been received from the Bush Administration, though the activity continues to move forward. Geri Word, head of the SPP office, located in the Commerce Department, told World Net Daily that the work has not been disclosed because “we did not want to get the contact people of the working groups distracted by calls from the public.” Yet, the SPP denies it is working in secret.

## NAFTA SUPER HIGHWAY

Quietly, the Bush Administration is working to advance a plan to build super highways through the heart of the United States to transport goods from Mexico and Canada. The highways are part of the original North American Free Trade Agreement, (NAFTA). The plan is now being advanced through an operation called “North America’s Super Corridor Coalition, Inc” (NASCO).

The Super Transnational System includes multiple lanes for cars and trucks. Plans call for a ten lane, limited access highway to parallel I-35. It will have three lanes each way for passenger cars, two express lanes each way for trucks. Mexican and Canadian cars and trucks will be allowed to travel the highway, over the U.S. border with no direct inspections. The highway will also carry rail lines plus a utility corridor for oil and natural gas pipelines, electric towers, cables for communications and telephone lines. Speed limits will be relaxed as well as safety inspections for vehicles from Mexico and Canada. Trucks will be allowed to carry extra tonnage and be extra long. A Railway system will travel up the center of the highway, allowing Mexican rail road companies to enter the U.S. and travel up the highway.

Several such highways are contemplated. Environmental impact studies have already been completed. In Texas, efforts are underway as 584,000 acres of privately owned land have been targets for takings through Eminent Domain. It is estimated that at least one million American citizens will be displaced from their homes to build the corridor.

## KANSAS CITY CUSTOMS PORT TO BE MEXICAN SOIL

Beginning at the southern tip of Mexico, passing through Laredo, TX, the highway heads to an “inland port” in Kansas City, where a “Sentry System” will electronically inspect the cargos, before they head East or West, or continue on North through Duluth, Minnesota and into Canada.

As described on the KC SmartPort’s website ([www.kcsmartport.com](http://www.kcsmartport.com)), the plan is to enable cheap-labor products made in Communist China to travel in sealed “containers nonstop from the

---

# IS THE IDEA OF A NORTH AMERICAN UNION A CONSPIRACY THEORY?

NO.

MORE TO THE POINT, IT IS REALLY A DEBATE OVER  
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY.

*By Tom DeWeese*

Specifically, if you believe there should be **no borders** marking a specific entity called the United States of America, then a North American Union **will not concern you**. If you believe nationalism, meaning love **and pride of country, is a bad thing**, then a North American Union **will not concern you**. If you believe **government control of the market, of health care, and of energy policy** is a positive force, then a North American Union **will not concern you**. If you believe **anyone** should be allowed to enter our nation, even illegally, obtain work, taxpayer-paid social programs, and owe no allegiance to the U.S., then a North American Union **will not concern you**.

On the other hand, if you believe the United States is the most unique nation on Earth with a government designed to protect your natural liberties, an economic system unlike any other -- designed to create economic independence, and a judicial system unknown to any other nation, **then a North American Union is a threat to all you hold dear**.

Those currently working on such a plan do not share your ideals; they do not support your political positions. They do not understand nor care about your concerns. It's their political ideology and they see nothing wrong with what they are doing. They consider your opposition to their plans to "harmonize" the U.S. with Mexico and Canada old fashioned and out of date.

## **The question is where do you stand?**

The other side intends to marginalize your love of country and support of limited government. In fact, the other side doesn't want to debate the issue at all. It just wants to force its way on you, without discussion, without a vote, and without your involvement. And that is why they are trying to operate in secret.

Those of us who oppose this Union on ideological grounds intend to force that debate and let the American people decide how they wish to be governed. And that is why the other side is attacking us so viciously.

## **The Charge:**

*"This paranoid and groundless frenzy has been fomented and promoted by a shameless collection of lunatics and losers; crooks, cranks, demagogues and opportunists, who claim the existence of a top secret master plan to join the U.S., Canada and Mexico in one big super-state and to replace the good old Yankee dollar with a worthless new currency called 'The Amero.'" Michael Medved, December 28, 2006.*

## **The Truth:**

- Mexican economist and researcher **Miguel Pickard** wrote in an article, published by foreign press, detailing the "deep integration" planned for North America. He said there will be no single treaty and nothing will be submitted to legislatures of the three countries. Instead, he says, the plan for a "merged future" will be implemented through "the signing of regulations not subject to citizen review." He went on to report of several secret meetings held in all three nations, after which representatives signed "close to 300 regulations" installing a "Unified American Border Action Plan."

---

• Pickard went on to express his view that President Bush is “vigorously pushing” the idea of a “North American community.” Pickard concluded by saying the schedule calls for beginning with a customs union, then a common market, then a monetary and economic union, and finally the adoption of a single currency.

• **Democrat Congressman Barney Frank** said in a letter concerning the Security and Prosperity Partnership, “It was done for the United States by the President, with no Congressional involvement. Indeed it is not even a treaty because it has not been ratified by the Senate.”

**CNN Anchorman Lou Dobbs** said during a report on the SPP, “Have our political elites gone mad?”

### The Charge:

*“Another delusion usually associated with these fears involves the construction of a ‘Monster Highway’ some sixteen lanes wide through Texas and the Great Plains, connecting two nations on either side for the borders for some nefarious but never-explained purpose.” Michael Medved, December 28, 2006*

### The Truth:

• In April, 2006, TxDOT released a 4,000 page Environmental Impact Statement that described a corridor that will be 1200 feet wide (the size of four football fields). It will parallel Interstate 35, and be five lanes north and five lanes south (3 lanes for cars, 2 lanes for trucks). In the middle will be pipelines and rail lines. It will also have a 200 ft wide utility corridor. The corridor will start in Laredo, TX, and run past Austin to the Texas-Oklahoma border. Plans ultimately call for building some 4,000 miles of highway with rail lines and utility lines, combined into super-corridors throughout Texas over the next 50 years.

• “The Oklahoma-to-Mexico stretch would be just the first link in a 4,000 mile, \$184 billion network. The corridor would be up to a quarter mile across, consisting of as many as six lanes for cars and four for trucks, plus railroad tracks, oil and gas pipelines, water and other utility lines, and broadband cables.” *Associated Press, July 21, 2006.*

• Central to the construction of the Trans Texas Corridor is the massive taking of 584,000 acres of private rich farm land, ranches and homes. Supreme Court-approved Eminent Domain will be used to acquire the land.

• The Trans Texas Corridor is the first leg of what is called the NAFTA Super Highway scheduled to go through heartland America all the way to Canada.

• The main reason for opposition (**for some nefarious but never-explained purpose**) is the lack of inspection of the truck’s cargo as they carry containers loaded in China and off loaded in Mexican ports and driven straight through to an Inland port in Kansas City (KC SmartPort), relying only on electronic screening for drive-through inspections. Moreover, Mexico will control its own customs facility in Kansas City and therefore able to inspect their own trucks on U.S. territory.

• “This spring (2006), city officials signed off on a 50-year lease for the Mexican facility, with an option for 50 more years...The council earlier this year earmarked \$2.5 million in loans and \$600,000 in direct aid to SmartPort, which would build and own the inland customs facility and sublet it to the Mexican government through agreements with U.S. Customs and Border Protection...The Mexican government would have no significant investment and would occupy the customs facility operation rent free...SmartPort set up the deal to avoid imposing any expenses on Mexico above its ordinary border costs...SmartPort meanwhile is seeking a \$1.5 million grant from the U.S. Economic Development Administration to purchase high-tech gamma-ray screening devices for drive-through inspections of truck cargo... Confusion and secrecy have been hallmarks of the ambitious project. At the outset, Gutierrez and others have said the customs facility would be sovereign Mexican soil similar to a foreign embassy. This has changed.” *Posted by the Kansas City Star, 7-18-06*

• Another objection to the highway system is the fact that foreign companies will operate the highways and collect tolls.

• “On a single day in June (2006), an Australian-Spanish partnership paid \$3.6 billion to lease the Indiana Toll Road. An Australian company bought a 99-year lease on Virginia’s Pocahontas Parkway, and Texas officials decided to let a Spanish-American partnership build and run a toll road from Austin to Seguin for 50 years.” *Associated Press, 7-15-06*

• “One principle player is a Spanish construction company, which plans to build the highway and operate it as a toll road. But don’t be fooled: the superhighway proposal is not the result of free market demand, but rather an extension of government-managed trade schemes like NAFTA that benefit politically-correct interests.” *Texas Congressman Ron Paul*

---

## The Charge:

*“The record couldn’t be more clear on the ‘North American Union’ -- there’s no one anywhere near the Bush administration, the Congress of the United States, Cabinet departments or even major think tanks who believes it’s a good idea to merge Canada, Mexico and the U.S.” Michael Medved, December 28, 2006*

## The Truth:

- “Away from the spotlight, from Sept. 12 to 14 (2006), in Banff Springs (Canada), Minister of Public Safety Stockwell Day and Defense Minister Gordon O’Connor met with U.S. and Mexican government officials and business leaders to discuss North American integration at the second North American Forum... The focus of the event... included topics such as ‘A North American Energy Strategy,’ ‘Demographic and Social Dimensions of North American Integration,’ and ‘Opportunities for Security Cooperation’ – all topics where the public interest is at odds with that of big business elites... The public has been kept in the dark while the business elite have played a lead role in designing the blueprint for this more integrated North America.” *Reported by the Toronto Star, 9-20-06*

- Attending the Banff meeting were Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, former U.S. Trade Rep. Carla Hills, and Assistant Secretary of State for Western Hemisphere Dr. Thomas Shannon.

- Arizona State University is teaching that the U.S., Mexico and Canada need to be integrated into a unified superstate, where U.S. citizens of the future will be known as “North Americanists.” The program openly calls for the integration of economic issues across the continent, and in many places goes further – such as the call for a common North American currency and an implied joint military.

- “Reformist Mexican President Vicente Fox raises eyebrows with his suggestion that over a decade or two NAFTA should evolve into something like the European Union, with open borders for not only goods and investment but also people. He can rest assured that there is one voice north of the Rio Grand that supports his vision. To wit, this newspaper.” *Robert L. Bartley, editor, The Wall Street Journal, editorial, July, 2, 2001.*

## The Charge:

*“Concerning the feds, the entire horror story about ‘North American Union’ is based upon the ‘Security and Prosperity Partnership,’ an utterly innocuous, open, above-board, well-advertised and widely publicized initiative to promote inter-governmental cooperation to fight terrorism, the threat of Avian flu, improve and tighten border security, and promote mutual prosperity.” Michael Medved, December 28, 2006*

## The Truth:

- “The SPP was not created by a treaty between the nations involved, nor was Congress involved in any way. Instead, the SPP is an unholy alliance of foreign consortiums and officials from several governments.” *Texas Congressman Ron Paul*

- Also attending the Banff meeting, according to Canadian CBC News, was Mel Hurtig, noted Canadian author. According to Hurtig, “We’re talking about such an important thing, we’re talking about the integration of Canada into the United States. For them to hold this meeting in secret and to make every effort to avoid anybody learning it, right away you’ve got to be hugely concerned.”

- “According to the U.S. government website dedicated to the project ([www.spp.gov](http://www.spp.gov)), the SPP is neither a treaty nor a formal agreement. Rather, it is a ‘dialogue’ launched by the heads of state of Canada, Mexico, and the United States at a summit in Waco, Texas in March, 2005. What is a dialogue? We don’t know. What we do know, however, is that Congressional oversight of what might be one of the most significant developments in recent history is non-existent. Congress has had no role at all in this ‘dialogue’ that many see as a plan for a North American union. According to the SPP website, this ‘dialogue’ will create new supra-national organizations to ‘coordinate’ border security, health policy, economic and trade policy, and energy policy between the governments of Mexico, Canada and the United States. As such, it is but an extension of NAFTA-and CAFTA-like agreements that have far less to do with the free movement of goods and services than they do with government coordination and management of international trade...” *Texas Congressman Ron Paul, 8-30-06*

*This report is produced by the American Policy Center, 70 Main Street, Suite 23, Warrenton, VA 20186. Telephone: (540) 341-8911. Web Address: [www.americanpolicy.org](http://www.americanpolicy.org).*

## H. CON. RES. 40

Expressing the sense of Congress that the United States should not engage in the construction of a North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) Superhighway System or enter into a North American Union with Mexico and Canada.

### IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

January 22, 2007

Mr. GOODE (for himself, Mr. WAMP, Mr. JONES of North Carolina, Mr. PAUL, Mr. STEARNS, Mr. DUNCAN, and Ms. FOXX) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, and in addition to the Committee on Foreign Affairs, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

### CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Expressing the sense of Congress that the United States should not engage in the construction of a North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) Superhighway System or enter into a North American Union with Mexico and Canada.

**WHEREAS** the United States Departments of State, Commerce, and Homeland Security participated in the formation of the Security and Prosperity Partnership (SPP) on March 23, 2005, representing a tri-lateral agreement between the United States, Canada, and Mexico designed, among other things, to facilitate common regulatory schemes between these countries;

**WHEREAS** reports issued by the SPP indicate that it has implemented regulatory changes among the three countries that circumvent United States trade, transportation, homeland security, and border security functions and that the SPP will continue to do so in the future;

**WHEREAS** the actions taken by the SPP to coordinate border security by eliminating obstacles to migration between Mexico and the United States actually makes the United States-Mexico border less secure because Mexico is the primary source country of illegal immigrants into the United States;

**WHEREAS** according to the Department of Commerce, United States trade deficits with Mexico and Canada have significantly increased since the implementation of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA);

**WHEREAS** the economic and physical security of the United States is impaired by the potential loss of control of its borders attendant to the full operation of NAFTA and the SPP;

**WHEREAS** the regulatory and border security changes implemented and proposed by the SPP violate and threaten United States sovereignty;

**WHEREAS** a NAFTA Superhighway System from the west coast of Mexico through the United States and into Canada has been suggested as part of a North American Union to facilitate trade between the SPP countries;

**WHEREAS** the State of Texas has already begun planning of the Trans-Texas Corridor, a major multi-modal transportation project beginning at the United States-Mexico border, which would serve as an initial section of a NAFTA Superhighway System;

**WHEREAS** it could be particularly difficult for Americans to collect insurance from Mexican companies which employ Mexican drivers involved in accidents in the United States, which would likely increase the insurance rates for American drivers;

**WHEREAS** future unrestricted foreign trucking into the United States can pose a safety hazard due to inadequate maintenance and inspection, and can act collaterally as a conduit for the entry into the United States of illegal drugs, illegal human smuggling, and terrorist activities; and

**WHEREAS** a NAFTA Superhighway System would likely include funds from foreign consortiums and be controlled by foreign management, which threatens the sovereignty of the United States: Now, therefore, be it

*Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That--*

- (1) the United States should not engage in the construction of a North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) Superhighway System;
- (2) the United States should not allow the Security and Prosperity Partnership (SPP) to implement further regulations that would create a North American Union with Mexico and Canada; and
- (3) the President of the United States should indicate strong opposition to these acts or any other proposals that threaten the sovereignty of the United States.

Far East by way of Mexico,” through “a ships-to-rail terminal at the port of Lazaro Cardenas in Mexico,” then up “the evolving trade corridor” to Kansas City, Missouri, where they would have their first inspection. A Kansas City SmartPort brochure explains further, “Kansas City offers the opportunity for sealed cargo containers to travel to Mexican port cities with virtually no border delays.”

Kansas City will be the check point and disbursement center for trucks bringing their cargos into this country from Mexico and Canada. The official organization in charge of setting up the port is KCSmartPort. The searches of goods will **not** involve open inspections in which truck doors would be opened and the contents inspected by Smart Port personnel or even drug sniffing dogs. Instead the trucks will be simply scanned by high-tech gamma-ray screening in drive-by inspections.

As part of the inland port, a Mexican Customs office is being established. The Kansas City Council has voted a \$2.5 million loan to KCSmartPort to build the Mexican customs facility in the West Bottoms near Kemper Area on city-owned land east of Liberty Street and mostly south of Interstate 670.

According to e-mails and other documents obtained by World Net Daily, top executives with the KCSmartPort project, suggest the facility “would need to be designated as Mexican sovereign territory and meet certain requirements.” In addition, Mexico is insisting on the right to be the sole inspector of its own trucks.

The negotiations with Mexico and the U.S. State Department for the final approval of the Mexican Customs office are proceeding in secret.

## **THE “AMERO,” MERGING U.S., CANADIAN AND MEXICAN CURRENCIES**

Though it will take some years to finalize, plans are being laid to create a new currency for the coming North American Union, much like the Euro replaced the currencies of individual countries of the European Union. The Amero would replace the U.S. Dollar, Peso and Canadian Dollar.

The plan has been specifically

promoted through Dr. Pastor’s book, the blueprint for the rest of the SPP plan, and now may be officially moving through the establishment of a new SPP effort called the “Financial Services Work Group.” To date, it is too early to tell exactly when such a change would move forward, but it is being discussed in high places.

**Of course the SPP and the Bush Administration denies that there is even discussion of such a currency.** However, on April, 6, 2006, the SPP announced the formation of the Financial Services Working Group. According to its own news release, the Financial Group will focus on “enhancing processes for addressing banking, securities and insurance issues.” It goes on to say, “U.S. financial regulatory agencies will play a critical role in the SPP.”

In truth, the SPP is being put into place incrementally. It will take years before everything is in final order. It took the European Union several years to create the Euro. However, the guiding documents from Dr. Pastor’s book and the CFR report each call for the creation of a North American currency. It is obvious, if one dissects the bureaucratic language of SPP documents, in order to reach its goal to “reduce the cost of trade, combat counterfeiting and facilitate trade,” among the three nations trying to act as one, the drive for a single currency will certainly become necessary.

## **A NEW GOVERNMENT FOR NORTH AMERICA**

Many Americans simply do not believe that the United States would voluntarily give up its sovereignty to a North American Union. Those who think this way somehow believe that there will be a vote of the American people to decide.

It is little understood that such actions are done incrementally, behind closed doors, until the plans are so far along that stopping them becomes nearly impossible. The North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) was sold as simply a way for American producers to broaden their markets. So too, was the European Union sold to the proud, ancient nations of that continent. Today, a new, socialist government rules them, complete with a ruling body, a new currency, a tax system, court system and a defense system -- all the ingredients necessary for a government.

Operating in secret, SPP working groups are efficiently laying the groundwork for the destruction of the United States of America as an independent, sovereign nation. Once the North American Union is in place, we will then have to compromise our very unique nation of protected freedoms with the socialist nations of Mexico and Canada, where property rights, justice, economics and natural rights are not necessarily recognized. Government decides.

The Security and Prosperity Partnership is about neither. It is not a plan to simply help sell American goods to larger markets. It is not a plan to help keep our nation safer in a security partnership with Mexico and Canada. Shutting our borders, not opening them, would do far more to accomplish that goal. The SPP is about creating a continental government which would eventually contain its own court system, its own ability to collect taxes (including some sort of military or police ability) and its own currency.

The SPP is an invasion of our culture and our economy. It’s about the redistribution of American wealth and industry. It will represent the end of over 250 years of an historic experiment in freedom – unless Americans across the nation say no – now.

*This report is produced by the American Policy Center, 70 Main Street, Suite 23, Warrenton, VA 20186. Telephone: (540) 341-8911. Web Address: [www.americapolicy.org](http://www.americapolicy.org). Much of the information for this report was contained in materials produced by investigative journalist Jerome Corsi. His reports on the North American Union may be found on World Net Daily, [www.worldnetdaily.com](http://www.worldnetdaily.com). Human Events, [www.humanevents.com](http://www.humanevents.com). Information was also obtained from reports by Phyllis Schlafly, [www.eagleforum.org](http://www.eagleforum.org). More information was provided by Dr. Steven Yates, “The United States of North America,” The Ecologic Powerhouse, [www.freedom.org](http://www.freedom.org). The blueprint for the North American Union may be viewed in it’s entirety on the web site of the Council on Foreign Relations, [www.cfr.org](http://www.cfr.org) and the official reports of the SPP working groups, now operating out of the U.S. Department of Commerce, may be viewed at [www.spp.gov](http://www.spp.gov). The Kansas City SmartPort current being build in Kansas City, MO can be found at [www.kcsmartport.com](http://www.kcsmartport.com).*

**Please make copies of the report and distribute to as many people as you wish.**

# THE CHRONOLOGICAL HISTORY FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE NORTH AMERICAN UNION

---

**JULY 2, 2000:** Mexican president Vicente Fox proposes a 20 to 30 year time line for the creation of a common North American market.

**NOVEMBER 27, 2000:** Robert Pastor's book "Toward a North American Community" is published.

**DECEMBER 2001:** U.S. Homeland Security Secretary Tom Ridge and Canadian Deputy Prime Minister John Manley sign the "Smart Border Declaration." It called for a "30-point action plan to enhance the security of our shared border , while facilitating the legitimate flow of people and goods."

**SEPTEMBER 9, 2002:** President Bush and Prime Minister Chretien meet to discuss progress in the Smart Border Action Plan. An update on the plan is produced by the White House on December 6, 2002.

**DECEMBER, 2002:** U.S. Secretary of State Colin Powell signs an agreement between the United States and Canada to establish a Bi-national Agreement on Military Planning.

**JANUARY, 2003:** The Canadian Council of Chief Executives launches the North American Security and Prosperity Initiative (NASPI) to propose a comprehensive North American strategy integrating economic and security issues.

**FEBRUARY, 2004:** The Council on Foreign Relations publishes Robert Pastor's paper "North America's Second Decade," which advocates further North American integration.

**OCTOBER, 2004:** The Canada-Mexico Partnership (CMP) is launched during the visit of President Fox to Ottawa.

**NOVEMBER 1, 2004:** The Independent Task Force on the Future of North America is formed. The task force is a trilateral effort charged with developing a "roadmap" to promote "North American security and advance the well-being of citizens of all three countries." The task force is sponsored by the Council on Foreign Relations.

**MARCH 23, 2005:** President Bush meets at his ranch in Crawford, TX with Vincente Fox of Mexico and Paul Martin of Canada in what they call a Summit. The three heads of state then drive to Baylor University in Waco, where they issue a press release announcing their signing of an agreement to form the Security and Prosperity Partnership of North America (SPP).

**MAY 17, 2005:** The Independent Task Force on the Future of North America (CFR) releases its report "Creating a North American Community – Chairman's Report." The 59-page document outlines a five-year plan for the "establishment by 2010 of a North American economic and security community" with a common "outer security perimeter" to achieve "the freer flow of people within North America."

**JUNE 9, 2005:** Senate Foreign Relations Chairman Richard Lugar held a "friendly" committee hearing that features Task Force member Robert Pastor. He reveals further details of the plan for a "continental perimeter," including "an integrated continental plan for transportation and infrastructure that includes new North American highways and high-speed rail corridors."

**JUNE 27, 2005:** Homeland Security Secretary Michael Chertoff attends a SPP meeting in Ottawa, Canada, at which he said "we want to facilitate the flow of traffic across our borders." The White House issues a press release endorsing the Ottawa report and calling the meeting "an important first step in achieving the goals of the Security and Prosperity Partnership."

**JULY, 2005:** The White House announces it is backing a coalition called Americans for Border and Economic Security, organized by former Republican National Committee Chairman Ed Gillespie. Its purpose is to conduct a political-style campaign to sell the American people in a guest-worker program wrapped in a few border-security promises and financed by coalition members who each put up \$50,000 to \$250,000.

**MARCH 31, 2006:** President Bush, Vicente Fox and new Canadian Prime Minister Stephen Harper meet in Cancun, Mexico to (according to the official news release) celebrate the first anniversary of the Security and Prosperity Partnership. At the same time Bush demands that Congress pass an immigration bill with a guest worker permit program.

**JUNE 15, 2006:** SPP's North American Competitiveness Council (NACC), consisting of government officials and corporate CEOs from the three countries, met to "institutionalize the North American Security and Prosperity Partnership and the NACC, so that the work will continue through changes of administrations."

**SEPTEMBER 12, 2006:** In Banff Alberta, Canada, a group of present and past elected officials from all three countries meet with corporate, military, academic, financial, industrial, and think tank members in a "North American Forum." U.S. participants include former Secretary of State George Shultz, Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld, and Robert Pastor, to name a few.